

Example of an Unhelpful Review of an Accepted Paper

EMPIRICAL STUDY

MERGA RESEARCH PAPERS: REVIEWER REPORT FORM

I have reviewed the paper:

Title: Using manipulatives with adult learners of mathematics

Code: RP42

As a research paper for presentation at MERGA 26 and publication in the conference proceedings, I recommend that the paper be (delete two):

ACCEPTED

Please supply below reasons for the acceptance or rejection of the paper commenting on each of the categories specified below, as appropriate. You may refer to more issues than suggested by the categories if you wish.

Statement of problem/issue and discussion of its significance:

Relevant context and research question.

Literature review and theoretical framework:

Framework is not one I'm familiar with.

Methodology and data analysis (when applicable):

Data analyses appear to be fine.

Discussion:

Good

Conclusions and implications:

General comments including reasons for the recommendation:

Well written.

Minor revision: Notes for editorial team

Please note here any minor revisions that can be completed by the editorial team (e.g., typographical errors, grammatical points, ways in which the paper does not conform to the MERGA layout and presentation guidelines for publication of MERGA papers)

Full stop missing on p.5

Would you like copies of the comments of the other reviewer/s of this paper to be e-mailed to you?

NO